arXiv:hep-th/9201077v1 31 Jan 1992

PAR–LPTHE 92–4

January 1992

REMARKS ON THE PHYSICAL STATES AND THE CHIRAL ALGEBRA OF 2D GRAVITY COUPLED TO $C \leq 1$ MATTER

Vl.S.Dotsenko*†

LPTHE‡

Université Pierre et Marie Curie, PARIS VI

Tour 16, 1er étage

4 place Jussieu

75252 Paris CEDEX 05, FRANCE

ABSTRACT

Some elaboration is given to the structure of physical states in 2D gravity coupled to $C \leq 1$ matter, and to the chiral algebra (w_∞) of $C_M = 1$ theory which has been found recently, in the continuum approach, by Witten and by Klebanov and Polyakov. It is shown then that the chiral algebra is being realized as well in the minimal models of gravity ($C_M < 1$), so that it stands as a general symmetry of 2D gravity theories.

*E-mail address: dotsenko@lpthe.jussieu.fr

†Permanent address: Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow.

‡Laboratoire associé No. 280 au CNRS

It can be said that the continuum field theory approach to 2D gravity has regained more attention recently. Two major developments have been the complete classification of the physical states in $C_M \leq 1$ gravity [1, 2], and the discovery of the ground ring and the chiral algebra (w_∞) structures in $C_M = 1$ theory [3, 4]. In the matrix model aproach related results have been obtained in the recent papers [5].

Here we shall first elaborate on the above results, of the continuum theory approach, and then show that the chiral algebra is present also in the $C_M < 1$ minimal models coupled to gravity. So that it stands as a general symmetry of the theory of 2D gravity.

The original BRST analysis of physical states in [1] starts with the irreducible matter modules, the null states being removed from the start. Then the physical states, found in place of null states, involve ghost oscillators and have nontrivial ghost numbers.

The second representation for extra physical states is implicit in the analysis of [2], in which the matter modules, realized as bosonic free field (Fock space) modules, are made irreducible by using Felder's resolution [6], Fig.1. So, effectively, the null matter states are removed from the start again. Then the extra physical states, of the theory with gravity, appear as dressed tachyon type states outside the basic grid. Now the ghost number grading of the Lian and Zuckerman states is being replaced with the Felder's resolution grading. The relation between (the equivalence of) the two representations is studied in the recent paper [7].

We argue that there exists the third representation of the minimal model extra states. One doesn't have to remove the null states of the Fock space matter modules from the start. There is no longer reason for doing that, as the full theory, with gravity, is different. In particular, the difinition of physical states is different. Then the free field realized matter, together with the free field realized Liouville, get extra states in the form of nontrivially dressed (mixed with Liouville oscillators) null states of the original matter theory.

Exercise could be made e.g. with the second level state of the matter and Liouville

common Fock space:

$$\Phi = [a_1(\partial\varphi_M)^2 + a_2\partial^2\varphi_M + b_1(\partial\varphi_L)^2 + b_2\partial^2\varphi_L + c\partial\varphi_M\partial\varphi_L]\exp(i\alpha\varphi_M)\exp(\beta\varphi_L) \quad (1)$$

The physical state condition is

$$L_n\Phi = 0, \quad n > 0 \quad (2)$$

$$L_0\Phi = \Phi \quad (3)$$

$$\Phi \neq (k_1L_{-1}^2 + k_2L_{-2})\exp(i\alpha\varphi_M)\exp(\beta\varphi_L) \quad (4)$$

(k_1, k_2 stand for general coefficients). Here $\{L_n\}$ are components of the full SET

$$T = T_M + T_L, \quad T = \sum \frac{L_n}{z^{n+2}} \quad (5)$$

Realized in free fields, T_M and T_L have the standard form for the theories with background charges:

$$T_M = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial\varphi_M)^2 + i\alpha_0\partial^2\varphi_M, \quad T_L = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial\varphi_L)^2 + \beta_0\partial^2\varphi_L \quad (6)$$

$$C_M = 1 - 24\alpha_0^2, \quad C_L = 1 + 24\beta_0^2 \quad (7)$$

with

$$C_M + C_L = 26 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \beta_0^2 = 1 + \alpha_0^2 \quad (8)$$

The two-point functions of φ_M, φ_L in (1) and in (6) are normalized as

$$\langle\varphi_M(z)\varphi_M(z')\rangle = \langle\varphi_L(z)\varphi_L(z')\rangle = 2\log\frac{1}{z-z'} \quad (9)$$

By eq.(2) Φ has to be a primary field, and not a descendent, by eq.(4).This is the old fashioned, but basic definition for physical states in string theory, and so in 2D gravity, which does not use the representation with ghosts.

Requiring that the fourth and the third order pole singularities did not appear in the operator product expansion of $T(z), \Phi(z')$ (this is eq.(2)), and that Φ were orthogonal to the states in r.h.s. of eq.(4), one gets a linear system of five equations. Condition that the solution exists fixes the discrete values for the "momenta" α, β, to be either

$$\alpha = \alpha_{1.2} \quad (10)$$

$$\beta = \beta_{1.2} \quad (11)$$

or

$$\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}_{1.2} \equiv 2\alpha_0 - \alpha_{1.2} \tag{12}$$

$$\beta = \tilde{\beta}_{1.2} \equiv 2\beta_0 - \beta_{1.2} \tag{13}$$

(The notations for $\alpha_{n'.n}$, $\beta_{n'.n}$ are given below, eqs. (19), (22), for genaral α_0, β_0 in this case, subject to eq.(8)).

Above is an example of a nontrivial dressing by Liouville, which makes the would be null matter state (on the second level, in the above example) to become a physical state (stop being a descendant, in particular) in the theory with gravity.

In general then the extra discrete physical states get realized in this way all the way down inside the original matter modules, those of the basic grid only. This is indicated in Fig.2.

Existence of such states has been proved in [2], at the intermediate stage, in the proof of the theorem 3. In the application of the theorem to the minimal model the use of this fact was different, and has led to the second representation of physical states, i.e. the tachyon type states outside the basic grid, Fig.1.

The available calculations for the three-point amplitudes involving extra states has so far been done with the second representation [8, 9]. We expect naturally that all the representations should be equivalent, and lead to same amplitudes, see also [7].

In the limit of $C_M \to 1$ ($C_L \to 25$) the basic grid becomes infinite. The submodules structure becomes different, see Fig.3. (Indicatively, the second top null state in Fig.1 goes down to infinity). The submodules get organized into the $su(2)$ multiplets, related to each other by the action of the screening operators

$$H_M^{\pm} = \oint du \exp(i\alpha_{\pm}\varphi_M(u)) = \oint du \exp(\pm i\varphi_M(u)) \tag{14}$$

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \alpha_0 \pm \sqrt{\alpha_0^2 + 1} = \pm 1, \quad \text{for} \quad C_M = 1 (\alpha_0 = 0) \tag{15}$$

We remark that in $C_M = 1$ theory the screening operators have a different role. They define new states - relate states to states (act locally). This is unlike the $C_M = 1$ theory

where, in general, except for the Felder's BRST operator d', Fig.1, they relate tensor products of states to states, Fig.4, defining new, extra, operator product channels. It can be said that in this second case the screening operators represent (extra) properties of the vacuum, of the theory with a background charge.

Existence of two moves between the Fock space submodules, by the action of the operators H_M^+, H_M^-, Fig.3, allows to prove that the submodules are in fact decoupled - no inclusion (with respect to the action of the Virasoro algebra operators) but a direct sum. Then the top states of the submodules already satisfy the eqs.(2) and (4) for the physical states. Trivial dressing by a tachyonic Liouville operator is sufficient to make them sutisfy also the eq.(3), and make the discrete matter states physical, with respect to $T = T_M + T_L$.

These facts about the $C_M = 1$ theory are well known. But let us remark on the corresponding modules of $C_L = 25$ Liouville theory. They are given in Fig.5. In this particular case there is just one screening operator, because for $C_L = 25$

$$C = 1 + 24\beta_0^2, \quad \beta_0 = 1 \tag{16}$$

(The choice of $\beta_0 = -1$ would be a trivial redifinition of the theory, same e.g. as for α_0 , in $C < 1$ matter theory).

$$\beta_\pm = \beta_0 \pm \sqrt{\beta_0^2 - 1} = 1 \tag{17}$$

$$H_L = \oint du \exp(\beta_\pm \varphi_L(u)) = \oint du \exp(\varphi_L(u)) \tag{18}$$

Accordingly, there is just one (regular) move between the submodules, Fig.5. As a result, unlike the $C = 1$ theory, the submodules are coupled inside the modules (by the Virasoro operators) according to the inclusion diagrams in Fig.5. There are no decoupled primary states inside the modules, and so for dressing the decoupled matter states one can use just the top states of the modules - one Liouville tachyon type (top) operator dressing the corresponding $su(2)$ multiplet of matter states. The second, across the valley, top operator, of the same $(L_0)_{Liouv}$ level, can also be used. It corresponds to the opposite chirality, or the conjugate Coulomb gas representation states.

The asymmetry between the $C = 1$ and $C = 25$ theories (between the structures of bosonic, Fock space modules, with respect to the corresponding Virasoro algebras) indicates that the expected $su(2)$ structure of the matter sector operator algebra is not going to get cancelled fully by the Liouville, as it does not possess the corresponding multiplets of decoupled states.

The above remark (or worry) is due to the fact that in the case of $C < 1$ minimal theory the nontrivial operator algebra (by which me imply here the three-point amplitudes) of the matter does get cancelled by Liouville, leaving just a product of normalization factors [10, 8, 9]. This can be thought of as to be due to the complimentary structures of the corresponding $C_M < 1$ and $C_L > 25$ theories, with respect to the operators considered in those calculations.

Next we shall elaborate somewhat on the calculation of the chiral operator algebra by Klebanov and Polyakov [4] for $C = 1$ theory. We shall calculate the general three-point amplitude for the discrete chiral operators, without the assumption of $\mu = 0$.

We shall first fix the notations. For the matter part

$$\alpha_0 = 0, \quad \alpha_\pm = \pm 1, \quad \alpha_{n'.n} = \frac{1-n'}{2}\alpha_- + \frac{1-n}{2}\alpha_+ = \frac{n'-n}{2} \equiv j \tag{19}$$

As $\alpha_{n'.n}$ depends on $(n'-n)$ in $C = 1$ theory we can use the parameter $j = (n'-n)/2$, which is the $su(2)$ momentum with respect to

$$H_M^\pm = \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i} \exp(\pm i\varphi_M(u)) \tag{20}$$

$$H_M^0 = \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i} \frac{i}{2} \partial\varphi_M(u) \tag{21}$$

The tachyon type dressing of $\exp(i\alpha_{n'.n}\varphi_M)$ is by $\exp(\beta_{-n'.n}\varphi_L)$, or by $\exp(\beta_{n'.-n}\varphi_L)$, to ensure $\Delta_M + \Delta_L = 1$. Then for the Liouville part

$$\beta_0 = 1, \quad \beta_\pm = 1, \quad \beta_{-n'.n} = \frac{1+n'}{2}\beta_- + \frac{1-n}{2}\beta_+ = 1 + \frac{n'-n}{2} = 1 + j \tag{22}$$

$$\beta_{n'.-n} = \frac{1-n'}{2}\beta_- + \frac{1+n}{2}\beta_+ = 1 - \frac{n'-n}{2} = 1 - j \tag{23}$$

The conformal domensions of the operators are given by

$$\Delta^M_{n'.n} \equiv \Delta(\exp(i\alpha_{n'.n}\varphi_M)) \;=\; \alpha^2_{n'.n} = j^2 \tag{24}$$

$$\Delta^L_{-n'.n} \equiv \Delta(\exp(\beta_{-n'.n}\varphi_L)) \;=\; -(\beta^2_{-n'.n} - 2\beta_0\beta_{-n'.n}) = 1 - j^2 = \Delta^L_{n'.-n} \tag{25}$$

They are indicated in Figs.3 and 5 for several first integer j operators.

The matter operators - the multiplets of discrete states in Fig.3, are given by

$$\phi^{(M)j}_{\;\;m}(z) = (H^-_M)^{j-m}\exp(ij\varphi_M(z)) \equiv \prod_1^{j-m}\oint\frac{du_i}{2\pi i}\exp(-i\varphi_M(u_i))\exp(ij\varphi_M(z)) \tag{26}$$

(The double use of i in the r.h.s. is unlikely to be confusing). Being dressed by the corresponding Liouville operators they become spin one chiral operators of $C = 1$ gravity [3, 4]:

$$\Phi^{(\pm)j}_{\;\;m} = \phi^{(M)j}_{\;\;m}(z)\phi^{(\pm,L)}_j(z) \equiv \phi^{(M)j}_{\;\;m}(z)\exp((1\mp j)\varphi_L(z)) \tag{27}$$

The calculation of the three-point amplitudes factorizes into the matter and the Liouville parts. For the matter factor the m dependence is absorbed, due to the $su(2)$ symmetry, into the 3j symbols of $su(2)$. Then we should expect:

$$\langle\phi^{(M)j_1}_{\;\;m_1}(0)\phi^{(M)j_2}_{\;\;m_2}(1)\phi^{(M)j_3}_{\;\;m_3}(\infty)\rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3\end{pmatrix} d^{(M)}_{j_1j_2j_3} \tag{28}$$

We can choose then the simpler but the general $j_1j_2j_3$ case of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\phi^{(M)j_1}_{\;\;-j_1}(0)\phi^{(M)j_2}_{\;\;j_1-j_2}(1)\phi^{(M)j_3}_{\;\;j_3}(\infty)\rangle \\
&= \langle\prod_{i=1}^k\oint_{C_{1(i)}}\frac{du_i}{2\pi i}\exp(-i\varphi_M(u_i))\exp(-ij_1\varphi_M(0))\exp(ij_2\varphi_M(1))\exp(ij_3\varphi_M(\infty))\rangle \\
&\propto \prod_{i=1}^k\oint_{C_{1(i)}}\frac{du_i}{2\pi i}(u_i)^{2j_1}(u_i-1)^{-2j_2}\prod_{i<j}^k(u_i-u_j)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Here $k = -j_1 + j_2 + j_3$ (ought to be integer). The contours $C_{1(i)}$ encircle the point $z = 1$ as in Fig.6(left). We shall use also the notation $n_1 = 2j_1, n_2 = 2j_2, n_3 = 2j_3$ for the integer-valued exponents in (29). So we have the integral

$$I^{(M)}_k(n_1,-n_2;1) = \prod_{i=1}^k\oint_{C_{1(i)}}\frac{du_i}{2\pi i}(u_i)^{n_1}(u_i-1)^{-n_2}\prod_{i<j}^k(u_i-u_j)^2 \tag{30}$$

It can be calculated by transforming it to the standard form in [11]. First we move the contours in Fig.6 (left to right), Which can be done since $z = 0$ is a regular point in (30). And then we shift the exponents by a small amount of ϵ (to regularize the integral below):

$$n_1 \to a = n_1 + \epsilon, \quad n_2 \to b = -n_2 - \epsilon \tag{31}$$

This results in the cut line between $z = 0$ and $z = 1$, but not outside, so that the contours are still closed, Fig.7. The resulting integral is standard. It is given by [11]:

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(M)}(a,b;1) &\propto (\frac{sin\pi a}{\pi})^k \prod_{i=1}^{k} \int_0^1 du_i (u_i)^a (1-u_i)^b \prod_{i<j}^{k} (u_i - u_j)^2 \\ &\simeq (\epsilon)^k k! \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(i)}{\Gamma(1)} \frac{\Gamma(1+a+i-1)\Gamma(1+b+i-1)}{\Gamma(2+a+b+k-2+i)} \\ &\simeq (\epsilon)^k k! \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma(i) \frac{\Gamma(n_1+i)\Gamma(-n_2+i-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(n_1-n_2+k+i)} \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Next we use

$$\Gamma(-n_2+i-\epsilon) \simeq \frac{(-1)^{n_3-i+1}}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n_2-i+1)} \tag{33}$$

to get (up to signs, which are generally ignored below)

$$I^{(M)} = k! \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(i)\Gamma(n_1+i)}{\Gamma(n_1-n_2+k+i)\Gamma(n_2-i+1)} \tag{34}$$

Using the relations for the products like e.g.

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{-n_1+n_2+n_3}{2}} \Gamma(n_1+i) = \prod_{i=n_1+1}^{\frac{n_1+n_2+n_3}{2}} \Gamma(i) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(i)} \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n_1+n_2+n_3}{2}} \Gamma(i) \tag{35}$$

we obtain from (34):

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(M)} &= \frac{1}{(j_1+j_2-j_3)!(j_1-j_2+j_3)!} (\Delta_{j_1 j_2 j_3})^{1/2} ((2j_1)!(2j_2)!(2j_3)!)^{1/2} \times d^{(M)}_{j_1 j_2 j_3} \\ d^{(M)}_{j_1 j_2 j_3} &= P(j_1+j_2+j_3) P(-j_1+j_2+j_3+1) P(j_1-j_2+j_3+1) P(j_1+j_2-j_3+1) \\ &\times P^{-1}(2j_1) P^{-1}(2j_2) P^{-1}(2j_3) \times (\Delta_{j_1 j_2 j_3})^{-1/2} ((2j_1)!(2j_2)!(2j_3)!)^{-1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} P(n) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(i) \\ \Delta_{j_1 j_2 j_3} &= \frac{(j_1+j_2-j_3)!(j_1-j_2+j_3)!(-j_1+j_2+j_3)!}{(j_1+j_2+j_3+1)!} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

For the specific normalization of the $su(2)$ operators which is used here (the operators (20), (21), (26)):

$$\langle H^+ \exp(-ij\varphi(0)) \times H^- \exp(ij\varphi(1))\rangle = (2j)!\frac{(j-m)!}{(j+m)!} \tag{38}$$

the first factor in (36) is the 3j symbol

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ -j_1 & j_1-j_2 & j_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{(j_1+j_2-j_3)!(j_1-j_2+j_3)!}(\Delta_{j_1j_2j_3})^{1/2}((2j_1)!(2j_2)!(2j_3)!)^{1/2} \tag{39}$$

The three-point function for the general case is then given by eq.(28), with the coefficients $d^{(M)}_{j_1j_2j_3}$ given by eq.(36). We can assume then the standard normalization of the $su(2)$ representation operators, by a unit. Then the $su(2)$ 3j symbols in eq.(28) become the standard ones.

We remark that the three-point functions (28),(36) for the operators $\phi^{(M)j}_m(z)$, eq.(26), are different from the Wess-Zumino $su(2)$ theory operator algebra structure constants, calculated in [12], because $\phi^{(M)j}_m(z)$ are not the primary operators, with respect to the currents:

$$J^{\pm}(z) = \exp(\pm i\varphi_M(z)), \quad J^0(z) = \frac{i}{2}\partial\varphi_M(z) \tag{40}$$

(comp. eqs. (20),(21)). The above currents are known to form the level one $su(2)$ current algebra [13].

On the Liouville side of the three-point amplitude we shall first choose the representation

$$\langle \exp((1-j_1)\varphi_L(0)) \exp((1-j_2)\varphi_L(1)) \exp((1+j_3)\varphi_L(\infty))\rangle \tag{41}$$

i.e. the one with the "signature" $(++-)$, comp. eq.(27). Without the screening operators the momentum conservation (anomalous,due to the background charge) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \beta_i &= 2\beta_0 \quad \rightarrow \quad 3-j_1-j_2+j_3 = 2 \\ j_3 &= j_1+j_2-1 \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

With the Liouville screening operators added, $\mu \int du \exp(\varphi(u))$, The Liouville part of the three-point amplitude becomes:

$$\frac{1}{(l)!}(\mu)^l \langle \exp((1-j_1)\varphi_L(0)) \exp((1-j_2)\varphi_L(1)) \exp((1+j_3)\varphi_L(\infty)) \prod_{i=1}^{l} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_i \exp(\varphi(u_i))\rangle \tag{43}$$

The momentum conservation takes the general form, for the theory with the background charge and the screening operators in the vacuum:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \beta_i + l\beta_- &= 2\beta_0 \quad \rightarrow \quad 3 - j_1 - j_2 + j_3 + l = 2 \\ j_3 &= j_1 + j_2 - 1 - l \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Particular l picks up a particular channel of the operator product algebra (OPA) - the particular value of j_3. (So far this is parallel to the minimal model setting of the OPA).

Taking the average, the eq.(43) becomes:

$$I_l^{(L)} \propto \frac{1}{l!}(\mu)^l \prod_{i=1}^{l} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_i (u_i)^{-2(1-j_1)} (u_i - 1)^{-2(1-j_2)} \prod_{i<j}^{l} (u_i - u_j)^{-2} \tag{45}$$

We have to decide on the choice of contours of integration in (45). The apparent ambiguity is due to the chiral calculation of the three-point function. We shall assume for the moment, for the purpose of the discussion of the contours, that all three points are at a finite position on the complex plane:

$$I_l^{(L)} \propto \frac{1}{l!}(\mu)^l \prod_{i=1}^{l} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_i (u_i - z_1)^{2(j_1-1)} (u_i - z_2)^{2(j_2-1)} (u_i - z_3)^{-2(j_1+j_2-l)} \prod_{i<j}^{l} (u_i - u_j)^{-2} \tag{46}$$

We have used eq.(44), by which the exponent of $(u_i - z_3)$ is $-2(1 + j_3) = -2(j_1 + j_2 - l)$.

The screening operators could be understood as coming from the expansion over the cosmological (exponential) term in the Liouville action. We could think of the u - contour integrals as coming from the functional integral representation, with the 2D integration over the cosmological operators being transformed into a sum of products of u and $\overline{u}$ contour integrals. The description of this standard analytic technique is given e.g. in [14]. Then, whatever the absorption of the $\overline{u}$ factors, on the u chiral side just the contours in Fig.8 would appear. To simplify pictures we consider just one contour integration. The present (heuristic basically) discussion could be extended to the multiple contour case as well.

The cases I and IV give vanishing result, whatever are the singularities at the points z_1, z_2, z_3. The contour could be shifted in these cases to infinity, where the integral converges and so vanishes over a shrinking loop. There remain configurations II and

III, which could also be transformed to the configurations II_1 or II_2, and III_1 or III_2 respectively, Fig.9. Then, if $2(j_1-1)\geq 0$, $2(j_2-1)\geq 0$, the integral I^L vanishes, for either contour, II or III, as the points z_1 and z_2 are regular, and the contours in II_2 or III_1 shrink to zero. Same happens with the multiple contour case, see Fig.10. Still this vanishing might be misleading, as in $C=1$ theory one assumes usually a singular renormalization of the cosmological constant μ, by $1/\Gamma(0)$.

In the other treatment, by taking e.g. the signature (- - +), comp. eq.(27), we shall get

$$\begin{aligned} I_l^{(L)} \propto \quad & \frac{1}{(l)!}(\mu)^l\langle\exp((1+j_1)\varphi_L(z_1))\exp((1+j_2)\varphi_L(z_2)) \\ & \times\exp((1-j_3)\varphi_L(z_3))\prod_{i=1}^{l}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}du_i\exp(\varphi(u_i))\rangle \\ & \propto \frac{1}{(l)!}(\mu)^l\prod_{i=1}^{l}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}du_i(u_i-z_1)^{-2(j_1+1)}(u_i-z_2)^{-2(j_2+1)} \\ & \times(u_i-z_3)^{2(j_1+j_2-l)}\prod_{i<j}^{l}(u_i-u_j)^{-2} \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

The nontrivial contours in this case are those in Fig.11 (assuming $2(j_1+j_2-l)\geq 0$). The calculation for this case, which uses the analytic continuation tricks, see the Appendix, leads to the result:

$$\begin{aligned} I_l^{(L)} \propto \quad & (\frac{\mu}{\Gamma(-1)})^l\frac{1}{\Gamma(0)}P^{-1}(j_1+j_2+j_3) \\ & \times P^{-1}(-j_1+j_2+j_3)P^{-1}(j_1-j_2+j_3)P^{-1}(j_1+j_2-j_3) \\ & \times P(2j_1+1)P(2j_2+1)P(2j_3-1) \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The factor $1/\Gamma(0)$, though singular, is the standard overall normalization of the Liouville calculation of the amplitudes, see [8], which would disappear if the amplitude is normalized by the partition function.

Combining eq.(28) and eq.(48) we find:

$$\langle\Phi^{(-)j_1}_{\ m_1}\Phi^{(-)j_2}_{\ m_2}\Phi^{(+)j_3}_{\ m_3}\rangle \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(0)}(\frac{\mu}{\Gamma(-1)})^l$$

$$\times\binom{j_1 \quad j_2 \quad j_3}{m_1 \quad m_2 \quad m_3} \times (\Delta_{j_1 j_2 j_3})^{-1/2}((2j_1)!(2j_2)!(2j_3)!)^{-1/2}$$

$$\times(j_1+j_2-j_3)!(j_!-j_2+j_3)!(-j_1+j_2+j_3)! \times (2j_1)!(2j_2)!\frac{1}{(j_3-1)!} \qquad (49)$$

For $j_3 = j_1 + j_2 - 1$ one gets the result of [4] (in representation used above this corresponds to $l = -2$, see eq.(67) in the Appendix):

$$\langle \Phi^{(-)j_1}_{m_1} \Phi^{(-)j_2}_{m_2} \Phi^{(+)j_1+j_2-1}_{m_3} \rangle \sim (j_2 m_1 - j_1 m_2) \times \frac{N(j_1,m_1)N(j_2,m_2)}{N(j_3,m_3)}$$

$$N(j,m) = \frac{((2j)!)^{1/2}(2j-1)!}{((j+m)!(j-m)!)^{1/2}} \qquad (50)$$

We have dropped here the singular factors in front.

The analysis of the general three-point amplitude, eq.(49), with respect to its chiral algebra interpretation, will be considered elsewhere.

Let us consider now the $C_M < 1$ minimal matter coupled to gravity. We want to show that the w_∞ chiral algebra is present in this theory as well.

We take now the matter and the Liouville SETs (for this theories being represented by free fields φ_M, φ_L) in the form:

$$T_M = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi_M)^2 + i\alpha_0\partial^2\varphi_M, \quad T_L = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi_L)^2 + \beta_0\partial^2\varphi_L$$

$$C_M = 1 - 12\alpha_0^2, \quad C_L = 1 + 12\beta_0^2$$

$$C_M + C_L = 26 \quad \rightarrow \quad \beta_0^2 = 2 - \alpha_0^2 \qquad (51)$$

This corresponds to the normalization of the two-point functions

$$\langle \varphi_M(z)\varphi_M(z')\rangle = \langle \varphi_L(z)\varphi_L(z')\rangle = \log\frac{1}{z-z'} \qquad (52)$$

being changed as compared to eqs.(6)-(9). (These changes of normalization are to avoid $\sqrt{2}$ in the formulas). The full SET of the theory is:

$$T = T_M + T_L$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}((\partial\varphi_M)^2 + (\partial\varphi_L)^2) + i\alpha_0\partial^2\varphi_M + \beta_0\partial^2\varphi_L \qquad (53)$$

Let us make the rotation in the space of (φ_M, φ_L) of the form:

$$\varphi_1 = -i\alpha_0\varphi_M - \beta_0\varphi_L$$

$$\varphi_2 = \beta_0\varphi_M - i\alpha_0\varphi_L \tag{54}$$

or

$$\varphi_M = \frac{1}{2}(-i\alpha_0\varphi_1 + \beta_0\varphi_2)$$

$$\varphi_L = \frac{1}{2}(-\beta_0\varphi_1 - i\alpha_0\varphi_2) \tag{55}$$

Then

$$(\partial\varphi_M)^2 + (\partial\varphi_L)^2 = \frac{1}{2}((\partial\varphi_1)^2 + (\partial\varphi_2)^2)$$

$$i\alpha_0\partial^2\varphi_M + \beta_0\partial^2\varphi_L = -\partial^2\varphi_1 \tag{56}$$

and we find that T in eq.(53 takes the form:

$$T = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi_2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi_1)^2 - \partial^2\varphi_1 \equiv T_2 + T_1 \tag{57}$$

SET T_2 is that of $(\text{matter})_2$ with $C_2 = 1$, no background charge, and T_1 is that of $(\text{Liouville})_1$, with the background charge

$$(\beta_0)_1 = -1 \tag{58}$$

$$C_2 = 1 + 24\beta_0^2 = 25 \tag{59}$$

Essential for this rotation to be an allowed transformation is that φ_M and φ_L to be treated on equal footing, as free fields, with background charges.

The operators of the theory are:

$$\Phi^-_{n'.n} = \exp(i\alpha_{n'.n}\varphi_M + \beta_{-n'.n}\varphi_L) \tag{60}$$

$$\Phi^+_{n'.n} = \exp(i\alpha_{n'.n}\varphi_M + \beta_{n'.-n}\varphi_L) \tag{61}$$

In the new basis of (φ_1, φ_2) the operators $\Phi^-_{n'.n}$ take the form:

$$\Phi^-_{n'.n} = \exp(ij_{n'.n}\varphi_2 - (1 + j_{n'.n})\varphi_1) \tag{62}$$

$$j_{n'.n} = \frac{\rho' n' - n}{2}, \quad \rho' = \frac{(\alpha_-)^2}{2} = \frac{p}{p'} \tag{63}$$

Here p, p' are the usual parameters of the minimal conformal theory. For the border case operators $n' = 0$, see Fig.12, we shall have the operators (62) with

$$j_{0.n} = -\frac{n}{2} \tag{64}$$

(Shifts of n' by $k \times p'$, for k integer, result in the same set of operators). They have the structure of $(\text{matter})_2$ and $(\text{Liouville})_1$ modules and submodules in Fig.3 and in Fig.5, which has been discussed in the first part of the paper. The corresponding extra discrete operators, the chiral ones, will form the chiral algebra w_∞.

The rest - the "regular" operators in (62), those with $n' \neq kp'$ (k is integer), are tachyonic operators of $C = 1$ gravity, with the $(\text{matter})_2$ momentum taking a discrete set of values in eq.(63). These are general position operators, in a sence that their $(\text{matter})_2$ modules are not degenerate, and involve no extra decoupled discrete states (if considered within the matter theory alone).

The operators $\Phi^+_{n'.n}$, eq.(61), might be giving an alternative representation for the same structure. In particular, the chiral operators would correspond to $n = 0$, up to $k \times p$ shifts. This is not yet clear, though.

The linear transformation in the space of $\partial\varphi_M$, $\partial\varphi_L$, to the light-cone like oscillator basis of $\partial\varphi^\pm \sim \partial\varphi_M \pm \partial\varphi_L$ is used in the papers [1, 2], in the study of BRST cohomology, i.e. the physical states spectrum of 2D gravity. The rotation in the space of φ_M, φ_L have also been discussed by Polyakov [15].

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to the colleagues at LPTHE for their kind hospitality. I have benefited from discussions with L.Baulieu, V.A.Fateev, B.Feigin, G.Felder, E.Frenkel, J.-L.Gervais, E.Kiritsis, M.Lashkevich, A.M.Polyakov, P.Windey.

APPENDIX

The integral (47), for $z_1 \to \infty$, $z_2 = 0$, $z_3 = 1$, and the contour integration on Fig.11, takes the form (we drop the $(\mu)^l$ factor here):

$$I_l^{(L)} \propto \frac{1}{l!}(\mu)^l \prod_{i=1}^{l} \oint_{C_{0,1}} du_i (u_i)^{-2(j_2+1)} (u_i - 1)^{2(j_1+j_2+l)} \prod_{i<j}^{l} (u_i - u_j)^{-2} \tag{65}$$

It is of the standard form [11], and ane gets (comp. also eqs.(30),(32), for I^M):

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(L)} &\propto (\frac{\sin 2\pi(j_2+1)}{\pi})^l \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\Gamma(-i)}{\Gamma(-1)} \frac{\Gamma(1-2(1+j_2)-i+1)\Gamma(1+2(j_1+j_2+l)-i+1)}{\Gamma(2-2(1+j_2)+2(j_1+j_2+l)-(l-2+i))} \\ &\propto \frac{1}{(\Gamma(-1))^l} (\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi(n_2+2)})^{k+1} \prod_{i=0}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(-n_2+n_3+k+1+i)}{\Gamma(i)\Gamma(-n_2+i)\Gamma(n_3+i)} \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Here $n_1 = 2j_1$, $n_2 = 2j_2$, $n_3 = 2j_3$,

$$l = -j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - 1, \quad j_1 + j_2 + l = j_3 - 1 \tag{67}$$

$$k = |l| - 1 = j_1 + j_2 - j_3 = \frac{n_1 + n_2 - n_3}{2} \tag{68}$$

Here we have assumed l to be negative, k to be positive, and have used the analytic continuation trick for the products [8]:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{l} f(i) = \prod_{i=0}^{|l|-1} \frac{1}{f(-i)} \tag{69}$$

For handling the expression in (66) we shall also use the relation, for $\Gamma(-n_2 + i)$,

$$\Gamma(-n) = \frac{\Gamma(0)}{\Gamma(n+1)}(-1)^n \tag{70}$$

Then, dropping the sign factors throughtout, we shall get for (66):

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(L)} &\propto \frac{1}{(\Gamma(-1))^l} \frac{1}{(0)^{k+1}} \prod_{i=0}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(n_2+1-i)\Gamma(-n_2+n_3+k+1+i)}{\Gamma(i)\Gamma(n_3+i)\Gamma(0)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\Gamma(-1))^l} \prod_{i=0}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(n_2+1-i)\Gamma(-n_2+n_3+k+1+i)}{\Gamma(i)\Gamma(n_3+i)} \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

The treatment of the products is similar to that in the case of the integral $I^{(M)}$, eq.(32), but with one extra factor of $1/\Gamma(0)$:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(i)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(0)} P^{-1}(j_1 + j_2 - j_3) \tag{72}$$

$$\prod_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n_3 + i)} = \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n_1+n_2-n_3}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n_3 + i)} = \prod_{i=n_3}^{\frac{n_1+n_2+n_3}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(i)}$$

$$= P^{-1}(j_1 + j_2 + j_3) P(2j_3 - 1) \tag{73}$$

$$\prod_{i=0}^{k} \Gamma(-n_2 + n_3 + k + 1 + i) = \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n_1+n_2+n_3}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n_1 + n_2 + n_3}{2} + 1 + i) = \prod_{i=\frac{n_1+n_2+n_3}{2}+1}^{n_1+1} \Gamma(i)$$

$$= P^{-1}(j_1 - j_2 + j_3) P(2j_1 + 1) \tag{74}$$

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n_1+n_2+n_3}{2}} \Gamma(n_2 + 1 - i) = \prod_{i=\frac{-n_1+n_2+n_3}{2}+1}^{n_2+1} \Gamma(i)$$

$$= P^{-1}(-j_1 + j_2 + j_3) P(2j_2 + 1) \tag{75}$$

Finally one gets, with $(\mu)^l$ placed back,

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(L)} &\propto (\frac{\mu}{\Gamma(-1)})^l \frac{1}{\Gamma(0)} \\ &\times P^{-1}(j_1 + j_2 + j_3) P^{-1}(-j_1 + j_2 + j_3) P^{-1}(j_1 - j_2 + j_3) P^{-1}(j_1 + j_2 - j_3) \\ &\times P(2j_1 + 1) P(2j_2 + 1) P(2j_3 - 1) \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

References

[1] B. Lian and G. Zuckerman, *Phys.Lett.* **254B** (1991) 417; *Phys.Lett.* bf 266B (1991) 21

[2] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy, and K. Pilch, Preprint CERN-TH.6162/91, July 1991; P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy, and K. Pilch, Preprint CERN-TH.6279/91, October 1991

[3] E. Witten, Preprint SLAC-PUB-IASSNS-HEP-91/51, August, 1991

[4] I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A6** (1991) 3273

[5] J. Avan and A. Jevicki, *Phys.Lett.* **266B** (1991) 35; Preprints BROWN-HET-824 and BROWN-HET-839;
D. Mimic, J. Polchinski and Z. Yang, Preprint UTTG-16-91;
G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Preprint RU-91-29, YCTP-P19-91;
S. Das, A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S. Wadia, Preprints IASSNS-HEP-91/52 and 91/72

[6] G. Felder, *Nucl.Phys.* **B317** (1989) 215; erratum, *Nucl.Phys.* **B324** (1989) 548

[7] S. Govindorajan, T. Jayaraman, V. John and P. Majumdar, Preprint IMSc -91/40, December 1991

[8] Vl. S. Dotsenko, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A6** (1991) 3601

[9] Y. Kitazawa, *Phys.Lett.* **B265** (1991) 262

[10] M. Goulian and M. Li, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **66** (1991) 2051;
P. Di Francesco and D. Kutasov, *Phys.Lett.* **B261** (1991) 385;
L. Alvarez-Gaumé, J. L. F. Barbón, and C. Gómez, Preprint SLAC-PUB-CERN-TH.6142/91, June 1991;
K. Aoki and E .D'Hoker, Preprint UCLA/91/TEP/32, August 1991;
J. -L. Gervais, Preprint LPTENS 91/28, September 1991
N. Sakai and Y. Tanii, *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **86** (1991) 547

[11] Vl. S. Dotsenko and V. A. Fateev, *Nucl.Phys.* **B251** (1985) 691; *Phys.Lett.* **154B** (1985) 291

[12] A. B. Zamolodchikov and V. A. Fateev, *Yad.Fiz.* **43** (1986) 1031
(*Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **43** (1986) 637)

[13] I. B. Frenkel, V. G. Kac, *Invent.Math.* **62** (1980) 23;
G.Segal, *Comm.Math.Phys.* **80** (1981) 301

[14] Vl. S. Dotsenko, *Adv.Stud. in Pure Math.* **16** (1988)123

[15] A. M. Polyakov, private communication

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig.1. Shadowed circles indicate the discrete (infinite set of states that remain, as physical, in the theory with gravity. Shown is only one set of states, which is generated by the primary field $\Phi_{2.2}$. Similar sets of states are associated with each primary field in the basic grid of the original matter theory.

Explicit form of the "moves", or differentials d' is realized by specific multiple integrals of the screening operators, see [6].

Fig.2. The suggested third representation of the physical states, as null states of matter (tops of the submodules) nontrivially dressed with Liouville. Just the $\Phi_{2.2}$ set of states is indicated. Similar seta are associated with each primary field inside the basic grid of the original matter theory.

Fig.3. Set of matter $C_M = 1$ modules, for j integer. On the r.h.s. the inclusion diagram of the submodules, for a particular module, is indicated. It is a direct sum in this case.

Fig.4. Different role of the screening operators in $C = 1$ and in $C < 1$ theories.

Fig.5. The set of Liouville $C_L = 25$ modules, for j integer. On the left and on the right are given the inclusion diagrams, for two particular modules.

Fig.6. The contours of the matter three-point function

Fig.7. The contours of the matter three-point function, with ϵ -regularized exponents.

Fig.8. Four distinct types of the $+\infty$ to $-\infty$ contours for the Liouville three-point function.

Fig.9. The closed contour integrals corresponding to the type II and III contours in Fig.8.

Fig.10. The transformation between the $+\infty$ to $-\infty$ and closed contours, for the multiple contour case.

Fig.11. The closed contours for the Liouville three-point function integral in eq.(65).